

Efficient Circular Strongly Partially Balanced Repeated Measurements Designs in Periods of Two Different Sizes

Rida Jabeen*, Rashid Ahmed, Huma Nisar and YashfaNazeer
[Received on August, 2019. Accepted on May, 2020]

ABSTRACT

With the use of repeated measurements designs (RMDs), residual effects may often arise. Minimal strongly balanced RMDs are useful to balance out these effects economically but unfortunately, these designs cannot be constructed for all cases. In such situations, strongly partially balanced RMDs are preferred. In this article, efficient circular strongly partially balanced RMDs are presented in periods of two different sizes.

1. Introduction

Repeated measurements designs (RMDs) are called strongly balanced if each treatment follows exactly λ' times by every other treatment, including itself, otherwise called strongly partially-balanced. If λ'_i takes values only 0 and 1, or 1 and 2 then design is called minimal strongly partially balanced. Williams (1949, 1950) first introduced RMDs. Magda (1980) introduced the idea of circular balanced RMDs. Cheng and Wu (1980) constructed balanced and strongly balanced uniform RMDs. Afsarinejad (1994) constructed minimal balanced and strongly balanced RMDs with unequal period sizes. Using method of cyclic shifts, (a) Iqbal and Jones (1994) constructed (i) efficient RMDs and strongly balanced RMDs for two unequal period sizes, (b) Iqbal and Tahir (2009) constructed circular strongly balanced RMDs for some cases, (c) Iqbal *et al.* (2010) constructed some first and second order circular balanced and strongly balanced RMDs, (d) Rajab *et al.* (2018) constructed some infinite series to generate circular balanced RMDs for equal period sizes, (e) Rasheed *et al.* (2018) developed some infinite series to obtain the minimal circular strongly balanced

*Corresponding Author**: Rida Jabeen, Department of Statistic, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan, Email: ridajabeen01@gmail.com.

RMDs in periods of three different sizes, (f) Khan *et al.* (2019) developed some infinite series to obtain circular partially balanced RMDs in periods of equal sizes. Minimal strongly partially balanced RMDs are recommended for the situations where minimal strongly balanced RMDs cannot be constructed. Jabeen *et al.* (2019) introduced circular strongly partially balanced RMDs (CSPBRMDs) in periods of equal sizes. Nazeer *et al.* (2019) constructed minimal CSPBRMDs in periods of two different sizes for a few cases. Using method of cyclic shifts (Rule II), Jabeen *et al.* (2019) developed some generators to obtain minimal CSPBRMDs in periods of two different sizes. Some more references of the designs constructed through method of cyclic shifts can be found in Ahmed *et al.* (2020). All these constructions are for p_1 even. These designs are no more useful if the setup of experiments is to run in two different period sizes with p_1 odd. To overcome this problem, efficient CSPBRMDs are constructed here in periods of two different sizes with p_1 odd using method of cyclic shifts (Rule I).

Rest of the paper is organized as follows: Method of cyclic shifts (Rule I) is explained in Section 2 to obtain CSPBRMDs in periods of two different sizes. Procedures for calculating efficiency of separability and of residual effects are described in Section 3. In Section 4, efficient CSPBRMDs are constructed in periods of two different sizes along with their efficiencies for (i) $11 \leq p_1$ (odd) ≤ 15 , $3 \leq p_2 \leq 7$, $v \leq 50$, and (ii) $9 \leq p_1$ (odd) ≤ 15 , $8 \leq p_2 \leq 14$, $v \leq 50$.

2. Method of Cyclic Shifts

- **How to obtain a CSPBRMD in Periods of Two Different Sizes**

Let $S_1 = [q_{11}, q_{12}, \dots, q_{1(r-1)}]$ and $S_2 = [q_{21}, q_{22}, \dots, q_{2(s-1)}]$ be sets of shifts, where $0 \leq q_{ij} \leq v-1$, if each element $0, 1, 2, \dots, v-1$ appears an equal number of times, say λ' in S^* , where $S^* = [q_{11}, q_{12}, \dots, q_{1(r-1)}, v-(q_{11} + q_{12} + \dots + q_{1(r-1)}) \bmod v, q_{21}, q_{22}, \dots, q_{2(s-1)}, v-(q_{21} + q_{22} + \dots + q_{2(s-1)}) \bmod v]$ then it will be CSBRMD in periods of two different sizes ($p_1 = r$ and $p_2 = s$). It will be minimal CSPBRMD, if S^* contains some of $0, 1, 2, \dots, v-1$ either (i) no time and others once, or (ii) two times and others once. If sum of any two, three, \dots , $(p-2)$ elements of consecutive shifts of a set is $0 \pmod{v}$ then any permutation within any shift is accepted as long as $0 \pmod{v}$ is not satisfied. It is described here with the help of following example.

Example 2.1: Sets of shifts, $S_1 = [1, 3, 2, 11, 10]$ and $S_2 = [4, 5, 8, 7]$ provide minimal CSPBRMD for $v = 12$, $p_1 = 6$ and $p_2 = 5$.

Check for minimal CSPBRMD: Here, $S^* = [1,3,2,11,10,9,4,5,8,7,0]$ it means each of 0, 1, ..., 5, 7, 8, ..., 11 appears once while 6 does not appear. So it is minimal CSPBRMD, using 24 subjects.

Subjects											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0 ₃	1 ₄	2 ₅	3 ₆	4 ₇	5 ₈	6 ₉	7 ₁₀	8 ₁₁	9 ₀	10 ₁	11 ₂
1 ₀	2 ₁	3 ₂	4 ₃	5 ₄	6 ₅	7 ₆	8 ₇	9 ₈	10 ₉	11 ₁₀	0 ₁₁
4 ₁	5 ₂	6 ₃	7 ₄	8 ₅	9 ₆	10 ₇	11 ₈	0 ₉	1 ₁₀	2 ₁₁	3 ₀
6 ₄	7 ₅	8 ₆	9 ₇	10 ₈	11 ₉	0 ₁₀	1 ₁₁	2 ₀	3 ₁	4 ₂	5 ₃
5 ₆	6 ₇	7 ₈	8 ₉	9 ₁₀	10 ₁₁	11 ₀	0 ₁	1 ₂	2 ₃	3 ₄	4 ₅
3 ₅	4 ₆	5 ₇	6 ₈	7 ₉	8 ₁₀	9 ₁₁	10 ₀	11 ₁	0 ₂	1 ₃	2 ₄
Subjects											
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
0 ₀	1 ₁	2 ₂	3 ₃	4 ₄	5 ₅	6 ₆	7 ₇	8 ₈	9 ₉	10 ₁₀	11 ₁₁
4 ₀	5 ₁	6 ₂	7 ₃	8 ₄	9 ₅	10 ₆	11 ₇	0 ₈	1 ₉	2 ₁₀	3 ₁₁
9 ₄	10 ₅	11 ₆	0 ₇	1 ₈	2 ₉	3 ₁₀	4 ₁₁	5 ₀	6 ₁	7 ₂	8 ₃
5 ₉	6 ₁₀	7 ₁₁	8 ₀	9 ₁	10 ₂	11 ₃	0 ₄	1 ₅	2 ₆	3 ₇	4 ₈
0 ₅	1 ₆	2 ₇	3 ₈	4 ₉	5 ₁₀	6 ₁₁	7 ₀	8 ₁	9 ₂	10 ₃	11 ₄

Here 9₄ means 9th treatment is applied in current period while 4th treatment was applied in preceding period.

3. Efficiency of the Proposed Designs

3.1 Efficiency of Residual Effects

In order to consider the efficiency of the constructed designs, the model for circular RMDs proposed by Davis and Hall (1969) is used.

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mu\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\delta} + \mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad (3.1)$$

Here \mathbf{Y} is the $np \times 1$ column vector of the np observations, μ is the overall mean, $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is the vector of direct effects of order $v \times 1$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is residual effect vector of order $v \times 1$, \mathbf{v} is the unit vector of order $n \times 1$, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is the vector of period effects having order $p \times 1$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is random error vector of order $np \times 1$ with mean zero and constant variance σ^2 . \mathbf{E} is the matrix of 1's with order $p \times q$. \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{P} are design matrices of observations versus direct effects, residual effects, unit effects and period effects of treatments with order $np \times v$, $np \times v$, $np \times bv$ and $np \times p$ respectively.

Using the identities, $\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{R}'\mathbf{D} = bp\mathbf{I}_v$, $\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{L}$, $\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{D}'\mathbf{P} = b\mathbf{E}_{v,p}$, $\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = p\mathbf{I}_n$, $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{E}_{k,q}$, $\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P} = n\mathbf{I}_n$. The reduced normal equations for $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ will be:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{C} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta} & \boldsymbol{\pi} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}' & \boldsymbol{\Theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{S} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \begin{bmatrix} bp\mathbf{I}_v - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}' & \mathbf{L}' - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}' \\ \mathbf{L}' - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}' & bp\mathbf{I}_v - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}'\mathbf{Y} - p^{-1}\mathbf{NU}'\mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{R}'\mathbf{Y} - p^{-1}\mathbf{NU}'\mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \boldsymbol{\theta} &= bp\mathbf{I}_v - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}', \boldsymbol{\Theta} = bp\mathbf{I}_v - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}', \quad \boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{L}' - p^{-1}\mathbf{NN}', \\
 \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{D}'\mathbf{Y} - p^{-1}\mathbf{NU}'\mathbf{Y}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}'\mathbf{Y} - p^{-1}\mathbf{NU}'\mathbf{Y},
 \end{aligned}$$

For the period of two different sizes information matrix can be presented as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{C}^* &= \begin{bmatrix} bp\mathbf{I}_v - p_1^{-1}\mathbf{N}_1\mathbf{N}'_1 - p_2^{-1}\mathbf{N}_2\mathbf{N}'_2 & \mathbf{L}' - p_1^{-1}\mathbf{N}_1\mathbf{N}'_1 - p_2^{-1}\mathbf{N}_2\mathbf{N}'_2 \\ \mathbf{L}' - p_1^{-1}\mathbf{N}_1\mathbf{N}'_1 - p_2^{-1}\mathbf{N}_2\mathbf{N}'_2 & bp\mathbf{I}_v - p_1^{-1}\mathbf{N}_1\mathbf{N}'_1 - p_2^{-1}\mathbf{N}_2\mathbf{N}'_2 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The information matrix for direct and residual effects denoted by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ respectively can be specified by their initial rows:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{t-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta} = [\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{t-1}]$$

According to the duality presented in the model (3.1), both direct and residual effects share the same information matrix. The non-zero Eigen values of information matrix \mathbf{C}^* are called the canonical efficiency factors, see James and Wilkinson (1971) and Pearce *et al.* (1974). The canonical efficiency factor is calculated by working out harmonic mean of non-zero Eigen values of their respective information matrix relative to that of an orthogonal with the same number of treatments having same number of replications. It is further assume that σ^2 is the same for the proposed design and the orthogonal design to which it is compared. The high value of E_r shows that design is suitable for the estimation of residual effects.

3.2 Efficiency of Separability

RMD must be characterized for its ability of separating the direct and first order residual effects. Divecha and Gondaliya (2014) used the following measure of separability called efficiency of separability (Es) for balanced RMDs.

$$\text{Es} = \left[1 - \left\{ \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)^2}{\lambda_3 + (v-1)\lambda_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + (v-1)\lambda_2)} \right\}^{1/2} \right] \times 100 \%$$

where

- λ_1 is number of units allocated for each treatment in two successive periods.
- Each ordered pair of distinct treatments is given to λ_2 units.
- Each pair of treatments with itself is given to λ_3 units.

4. Construction of Efficient CSPBRMDs

In this Section, efficient CSPBRMDs are constructed using method of cyclic shifts (Rule I). If sum of any two, three, ..., $(p-2)$ elements of consecutive shifts of a set is $0 \pmod v$ then any permutation within any shift is accepted as long as $0 \pmod v$ is not satisfied.

Construction 4.1. Efficient CSPBRMDs can be constructed for $v = ri+2p_2+1$ in $p_1 = r$ (odd) and $p_2 = s$, using following i sets of shifts for p_1 and two sets for p_2 . In these designs, some ordered pairs of treatments do not appear together while all other appear once.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j+1} &= [q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(r-1)}]; & j &= 0, 1 \dots i-1. \\ S_{i+1} &= [q_{(i+1)1}, q_{(i+1)2}, \dots, q_{(i+1)(s-1)}] & S_{i+2} &= [q_{(i+2)1}, q_{(i+2)2}, \dots, q_{(i+2)(s-1)}] \end{aligned}$$

Where

- $0 \leq q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(r-1)}, q_{(i+1)1}, q_{(i+1)2}, \dots, q_{(i+1)(s-1)}, q_{(i+2)1}, q_{(i+2)2}, \dots, q_{(i+2)(s-1)} \leq v-1$ but $\neq v/2$.
- S^* contains each of $0, 1, 2, \dots, v-1$ exactly once except $v/2$ which do not appear.
- $S^* = [q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(r-1)}, v-(q_{j1}+\dots+q_{j(r-1)}) \pmod v, q_{(i+1)1}, q_{(i+1)2}, \dots, q_{(i+1)(s-1)}, v-(q_{(i+1)1}+\dots+q_{(i+1)(s-1)}) \pmod v, q_{(i+2)1}, q_{(i+2)2}, \dots, q_{(i+2)(s-1)}, v-(q_{(i+2)1}+\dots+q_{(i+2)(s-1)}) \pmod v]$

Designs constructed through this procedure for $v \leq 50$ are presented with (i) $11 \leq p_1$ (odd) ≤ 15 , $3 \leq p_2 \leq 7$, and (ii) $9 \leq p_1$ (odd) ≤ 15 , $8 \leq p_2 \leq 14$ in Table 1 and 2 respectively.

Table 1. Efficient CSPBRMDs for $v \leq 50$, $11 \leq p_1$ (odd) ≤ 15 , $3 \leq p_2 \leq 7$.

v	p_1	p_2	Sets of Shifts	Er	Es
26	11	3	[23,1,3,2,5,4,6,7,8,9]+ [12,25,14,24,16,17,18,19,20,22]+[11,15]	0.82	0.96
48	11	3	[41,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]+ [12,13,14,15,27,16,17,18,19,20]+ [22,23,25,26,28,29,30,32,31,42]+ [34,35,37,36,38,39,46,47,33,43]+[45,40]	0.76	0.98
30	13	3	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,24]+ [14,12,17,16,18,19,21,22,23,25,26,28]+[27,20]	0.67	0.97
34	15	3	[1,3,2,5,4,7,6,8,10,9,11,12,13,14]+ [18,33,16,32,22,20,21,23,25,24,26,28,27,29]+ [15,19]	0.86	0.97
16	11	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,15,10,11]+[12,13,14]	0.70	0.94
38	11	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,31]+ [11,23,13,14,16,15,17,18,22,21]+ [24,37,25,27,26,28,29,30,10,32]+[34,35,33]	0.86	0.97

18	13	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,16,10,11,12,13]+[14,15,8]	0.55	0.94
44	13	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,21]+ [13,37,15,17,16,18,19,20,11,26,24,24]+ [27,43,41,30,31,32,33,34,42,36,39,14]+ [40,29,35]	0.84	0.94
20	15	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,11,12,13,14,15,19]+[16,17,18]	0.59	0.95
50	15	4	[45,1,2,4,3,5,6,8,7,9,11,10,12,13]+ [15,1,17,18,19,35,22,21,24,23,26,28,27,30]+ [31,32,33,34,49,47,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44]+ [46,36,48]	0.77	0.95
28	11	5	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11]+ [10,13,25,15,17,16,18,19,20,21]+[23,24,12,26]	0.74	0.96
50	11	5	[45,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]+ [35,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]+ [48,23,24,49,26,27,28,29,33,31]+ [30,34,11,36,37,47,39,40,41,42]+[22,38,44,46]	0.87	0.98
32	13	5	[18,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11]+ [30,14,15,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26]+ [27,28,29,13]	0.77	0.97
36	15	5	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,17]+ [16,15,19,20,35,21,23,22,24,25,26,27,28,29]+ [31,32,33,34]	0.71	0.97
16	11	6	[1,2,3,4,5,15,7,8,9,10]+[11,12,13,14,6]	0.74	0.94
38	11	6	[21,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]+ [11,12,13,14,15,32,18,17,19,20]+ [22,24,23,25,27,26,28,29,30,33]+ [31,34,35,36,16]	0.87	0.97
18	13	6	[13,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+[1,14,17,15,16]	0.54	0.95
44	13	6	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,22]+ [40,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,12,23,24]+ [43,27,28,29,30,31,34,32,33,42,36,37]+ [29,13,41,35,26]	0.85	0.96
20	15	6	[13,11,10,9,12,7,6,8,5,4,2,3,1,15]+ [16,17,18,19,10]	0.85	0.95
50	15	6	[46,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [35,16,18,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,29]+ [31,45,32,33,34,36,37,15,38,39,40,41,43,42]+ [30,1,47,48,49]	0.80	0.98
30	11	7	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,14,10]+ [11,12,9,16,13,18,19,17,20,21]+ [22,25,26,27,28,29]	0.80	0.97
34	13	7	[1,2,3,5,4,7,6,8,10,9,11,12]+ [13,14,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,25,32]+ [27,28,29,30,31,26]	0.66	0.97
38	15	7	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,23]+ [17,14,16,18,21,20,22,25,24,26,28,29,30,37]+ [31,32,33,34,35,36]	0.80	0.97

Table 2. Efficient CSPBRMDs for $v \leq 50$, $9 \leq p_1$ (odd) ≤ 15 , $8 \leq p_2 \leq 14$.

v	p_1	p_2	Sets of Shifts	E_r	E_s
18	9	8	[1,3,2,5,4,6,7,8]+[12,11,14,13,15,16,17]	0.82	0.94
36	9	8	[29,1,3,4,5,6,7,8]+[11,10,12,13,14,15,16,17]+ [20,21,23,22,24,25,26,27]+[2,30,31,32,33,34,35]	0.85	0.97
20	11	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,12,9,11]+[14,13,15,16,17,18,19]	0.63	0.95
42	11	8	[1,3,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [13,12,14,15,16,17,18,19,22,23]+ [38,24,25,26,27,28,30,31,32,33]+ [35,36,37,20,39,40,11]	0.79	0.98
22	13	8	[1,2,3,4,5,14,7,8,10,9,12,13]+ [15,16,17,19,18,20,21]	0.66	0.95
48	13	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+ [13,14,15,16,17,28,20,21,22,23,25,26]+ [19,41,31,30,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39]+ [29,42,43,44,45,46,47]	0.69	0.98
24	15	8	[1,2,3,16,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,14,15]+ [17,18,19,20,21,22,23]	0.49	0.96
32	11	9	[1,11,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [13,15,31,14,17,18,19,20,21,22]+ [24,25,26,27,28,29,30,12]	0.87	0.97
36	13	9	[2,1,4,3,5,6,7,9,8,10,11,12]+ [14,15,27,20,17,21,19,22,23,24,26,25]+ [16,28,29,31,32,33,34,13]	0.88	0.97
40	15	9	[1,2,3,4,6,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [16,17,19,18,23,21,24,25,22,26,27,28,29,30]+ [31,32,33,34,36,37,38,39]	0.89	0.97
22	11	10	[12,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [13,15,14,16,17,18,19,21,20]	0.71	0.95
44	11	10	[2,1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [13,12,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21]+ [43,37,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32]+ [35,36,24,38,39,40,41,42,23]	0.84	0.98
24	13	10	[1,2,3,4,5,7,6,8,9,11,10,13]+ [14,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23]	0.87	0.96
50	13	10	[1,2,3,4,5,6,8,7,9,10,11,12]+ [37,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,23,24,26]+ [48,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,13,41,39]+ [38,42,43,44,45,46,47,28,27]	0.83	0.98
26	15	10	[15,1,14,3,2,5,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+ [16,17,18,19,20,21,22,24,25]	0.81	0.96
38	13	11	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,11]+ [18,14,17,16,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27]+ [15,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,37]	0.89	0.97

42	15	11	[22,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [1,16,18,17,19,20,23,24,25,26,27,28,30,29]+ [31,32,34,35,37,36,38,39,40,41]	0.75	0.98
26	13	12	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+ [16,15,18,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25]	0.76	0.96
28	15	12	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,16,11,12,13,15]+ [17,18,19,21,20,22,24,23,25,27,26]	0.64	0.96
44	15	13	[2,1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,14,13]+ [16,18,20,17,21,19,23,24,25,26,41,28,29,30]+ [31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,42,43]	0.91	0.98
30	15	14	[16,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [17,19,18,29,20,21,22,23,25,24,27,26,28]	0.54	0.97

Construction 4.2. Efficient CSPBRMDs can be constructed for $v = ri+2p_2+1$ in $p_1 = r$ (odd) and $p_2 = s$, using following i sets of shifts for p_1 and two sets for p_2 . In these designs, some ordered pairs of treatments appear twice together while all other appear once.

$$S_{j+1} = [q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(r-1)}]; \quad j = 0, 1 \dots i-1.$$

$$S_{i+1} = [q_{(i+1)1}, q_{(i+1)2}, \dots, q_{(i+1)(s-1)}] \qquad S_{i+2} = [q_{(i+2)1}, q_{(i+2)2}, \dots, q_{(i+2)(s-1)}]$$

Where

- $0 \leq q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(r-1)}, q_{(i+1)1}, q_{(i+1)2}, \dots, q_{(i+1)(s-1)}, q_{(i+2)1}, q_{(i+2)2}, \dots, q_{(i+2)(s-1)} \leq v-1.$
- S^* contains each of $0, 1, 2 \dots v-1$ exactly once except $v/2$ which appears twice.
- $S^* = [q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(r-1)}, v-(q_{j1}+\dots+q_{j(r-1)}) \bmod v, q_{(i+1)1}, q_{(i+1)2}, \dots, q_{(i+1)(s-1)}, v-(q_{(i+1)1}+\dots+q_{(i+1)(s-1)}) \bmod v, q_{(i+2)1}, q_{(i+2)2}, \dots, q_{(i+2)(s-1)}, v-(q_{(i+2)1}+\dots+q_{(i+2)(s-1)}) \bmod v]$

Designs constructed through this procedure for $v \leq 50$ are presented with (i) $11 \leq p_1$ (odd) $\leq 15, 3 \leq p_2 \leq 7$, and (ii) $9 \leq p_1$ (odd) $\leq 15, 8 \leq p_2 \leq 14$ in Table 3 and 4 respectively.

Table 3. Efficient CSPBRMDs for $v \leq 50, 11 \leq p_1$ (odd) $\leq 15, 3 \leq p_2 \leq 7$.

v	p_1	p_2	Sets of Shifts	Er	Es
24	11	3	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,9]+ [13,15,14,11,16,18,19,20,21,22]+[12,12]	0.82	0.96
46	11	3	[37,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]+ [45,13,14,15,16,17,19,18,20,21]+ [24,26,25,27,28,29,31,22,33,34]+ [35,36,38,11,39,40,41,42,30,44]+[23,23]	0.86	0.98

28	13	3	[22,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,11]+ [15,16,17,18,20,19,21,23,24,27,25,26]+[14,14]	0.84	0.97
32	15	3	[30,26,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]+ [15,31,17,18,20,19,21,23,22,24,25,1,27,28]+ [16,16]	0.86	0.97
14	11	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11]+[10,12,13]	0.52	0.94
36	11	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,27]+ [10,11,12,14,15,16,18,19,20,32]+ [22,23,24,26,17,28,29,30,33,21]+[31,34,25]	0.77	0.97
16	13	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,14]+[13,12,15]	0.83	0.94
42	13	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,13,8,9,10,11,12]+ [15,29,16,18,17,20,19,21,22,21,24,23]+ [41,40,27,30,28,31,32,33,34,35,38,37]+ [39,26,25]	0.80	0.98
18	15	4	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,17]+[15,16,14]	0.45	0.95
48	15	4	[40,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [15,17,18,19,20,16,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28]+ [32,31,34,36,33,37,39,38,1,41,43,42,45,47]+ [44,46,30]	0.89	0.98
26	11	5	[24,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [12,11,13,14,15,22,18,17,19,20]+[16,23,25,13]	0.69	0.96
48	11	5	[42,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [11,12,14,13,15,32,17,18,19,20]+ [22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,38]+ [47,34,35,36,37,31,39,40,41,1]+[44,45,46,33]	0.88	0.98
30	13	5	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,24]+ [14,13,16,15,17,18,19,20,22,21,28,12]+ [26,27,23,29]	0.68	0.97
34	15	5	[32,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [15,16,17,18,19,20,22,31,24,23,25,26,27,29]+ [30,21,33,17]	0.72	0.97
16	11	6	[1,2,3,4,5,15,7,8,9,10]+[11,12,13,14,6]	0.74	0.94
38	11	6	[21,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]+ [11,12,13,14,15,32,18,17,19,20]+ [22,24,23,25,27,26,28,29,30,33]+[31,34,35,36,16]	0.87	0.97
18	13	6	[13,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+[1,14,17,15,16]	0.54	0.95
44	13	6	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,22]+ [40,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,12,23,24]+ [43,28,27,29,31,34,30,33,42,36,37,38]+ [39,13,41,35,26]	0.85	0.98
20	15	6	[15,13,11,10,12,2,1,3,4,5,6,8,7,9]+ [16,17,18,19,10]	0.85	0.95
50	15	6	[46,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [35,16,18,17,19,20,21,22,24,23,26,25,27,29]+ [31,45,32,33,34,36,37,15,38,39,40,41,43,42]+ [30,1,47,48,49]	0.80	0.98
28	11	7	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11]+ [27,13,12,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]+ [22,23,24,21,26,10]	0.75	0.97

50	11	7	[46,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [35,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]+ [22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,34]+ [33,31,11,36,37,38,49,39,41,42]+ [44,45,1,47,48,40]	0.88	0.98
32	13	7	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,30]+ [14,13,15,16,17,18,19,29,21,22,23,24]+ [26,27,20,28,12,31]	0.88	0.97
36	15	7	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,17]+ [35,16,14,18,19,20,32,23,22,24,25,26,27,28]+ [29,30,33,34,21,18]	0.73	0.97

Table 4. Efficient CSPBRMDs for $v \leq 50, 9 \leq p_1$ (odd) $\leq 15, 8 \leq p_2 \leq 14$.

v	p_1	p_2	Set of Shifts	Er	Es
16	9	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]+[8,9,10,11,13,14,15]	0.73	0.94
34	9	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8]+[10,11,12,13,14,15,16,20]+ [19,18,17,21,22,23,24,9]+[26,27,28,29,30,31,33]	0.85	0.97
18	11	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,9]+[12,11,14,13,15,16,17]	0.58	0.95
40	11	8	[1,2,3,4,6,5,7,8,9,10]+ [12,14,13,15,16,17,18,20,19,20]+ [39,22,23,24,26,27,28,29,30,32]+ [34,33,35,11,37,38,21]	0.88	0.98
20	13	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,12,9,10,10,11]+ [14,13,15,16,17,18,19]	0.62	0.95
46	13	8	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+ [45,15,16,17,18,19,20,22,21,23,24,23]+ [27,38,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,37,36]+ [27,39,40,41,42,43,44]	0.89	0.95
22	15	8	[1,2,3,4,5,14,7,8,9,10,11,11,12,13]+ [15,16,17,19,18,20,21]	0.64	0.96
30	11	9	[1,2,3,4,5,11,7,8,9,10]+ [15,12,14,13,16,15,17,19,18,20]+ [22,23,24,25,27,26,28,29]	0.81	0.97
34	13	9	[1,2,3,5,4,7,6,8,10,9,11,12]+ [33,14,15,16,17,17,18,19,20,21,25,23]+ [26,27,28,29,30,31,32,13]	0.71	0.97
38	15	9	[1,2,3,4,5,15,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,19]+ [30,31,32,33,34,35,36,6]	0.85	0.97
20	11	10	[1,2,3,4,10,6,7,8,9,10]+ [11,12,14,13,15,16,17,18,19]	0.57	0.95
42	11	10	[1,3,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]+ [12,14,13,16,15,21,18,19,20,21]+ [40,39,23,24,25,26,27,28,30,32]+ [33,35,34,36,37,38,22,11,17]	0.80	0.98

22	13	10	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,11]+ [13,15,14,16,17,18,19,21,20]	0.64	0.96
48	13	10	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]+ [25,14,15,16,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,24]+ [47,28,46,29,31,30,32,33,34,35,36,38]+ [39,40,41,42,43,44,45,27,26]	0.76	0.98
24	15	10	[1,12,2,12,3,4,7,5,6,8,9,11,10,13]+ [14,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23]	0.88	0.96
36	13	11	[2,1,4,3,5,6,7,9,8,10,11,12]+ [15,17,14,18,16,18,19,20,21,23,22,24]+ [13,26,27,28,29,31,32,33,34,35]	0.84	0.97
40	15	11	[1,2,3,4,6,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [30,17,18,19,20,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28]+ [16,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39]	0.69	0.98
24	13	12	[1,2,4,3,5,6,7,8,10,11,9,12]+ [12,13,14,15,16,17,19,20,21,22,23]	0.69	0.96
50	13	12	[1,2,3,4,5,6,8,7,9,10,11,12]+ [38,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,23,24,25]+ [48,47,46,42,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37]+ [39,40,41,29,43,44,45,28,26,27,25]	0.83	0.98
26	15	12	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,13]+ [16,15,18,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25]	0.68	0.96
42	15	13	[1,3,2,4,5,7,6,8,9,10,11,13,12,14]+ [16,17,20,18,19,22,23,21,24,25,26,28,27,35]+ [29,30,31,32,33,34,36,37,38,39,40,41]	0.83	0.98
28	15	14	[1,2,3,4,5,6,14,8,9,10,11,12,13,14]+ [15,17,16,18,19,21,20,22,23,24,25,27,26]	0.74	0.97

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to the reviewer for the valuable suggestions and corrections which have improved the readability of this manuscript.

References

- Afsarinejad K. (1994): Repeated measurements designs with unequal period sizes, *J. Ital. Stat. Soc.*, **3(2)**, 161-168.
- Ahmed R., M. H. Tahir, M. Rajaband Daniyal M. (2020): An overview on method of cyclic shifts for the construction of experimental designs useful in business and commerce, *Pak. J. Commerce Social Sci.*, **14(1)**, 50-63.
- Cheng C. S. and C. F. Wu (1980): Balanced repeated measurements designs, *The Ann. Statist.*, **8(6)**, 1272-1283.
- Davis A. W. and W. B. Hall (1969): Cyclic change over designs, *Biometrika*, **56**, 283-293.
- Divecha J. and J. Gondaliya (2014): Construction of minimal balanced crossover designs having good efficiency of separability, *Elec. J. Statist.*, **8(2)**, 2923-2936.

- Iqbal I. and B. Jones (1994): Efficient repeated measurements designs with equal and unequal period sizes, *J. Statist. Plann. Infer.*, **42(1-2)**, 79-88.
- Iqbal I. and M. H. Tahir (2009): Circular strongly balanced repeated measurements designs, *Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth.*, **38**, 3686-3696.
- Iqbal I., M. H. Tahir, and S. S. A. Ghazali (2010): Circular first and second order balanced repeated measurements designs, *Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth.*, **39**, 228-40.
- Jabeen R., R. Ahmed, M. Sajjad, H. M. K. Rasheed and A. Khan, (2019): Circular strongly partially-balanced repeated measurement designs in periods of two different sizes using method of cyclic shifts (Rule II) ,*J. King Saud Uni.-Sci.*, **31**, 519-524.
- Jabeen R., R. Ahmed, H. M. K. Rasheed and F. Shehzad (2019): Construction of circular strongly partially balanced repeated measurements designs, *J. King Saud Uni.-Sci.*, **31**, 345-351.
- James A. T. and G.N. Wilkinson (1971): Factorization of the residual operator and canonical decomposition of non-orthogonal factors in the analysis of variance, *Biometrika*, **58**, 258-294.
- Khan A., R. Ahmed, F. Shehzad, M. H. Tahir and S. S.A. Ghazali (2019): Construction of circular partially balanced repeated measurement designs, *Commun Stat Simul Comput.*, **48(2)**, 506-515.
- Megda C. G. (1980): Circular balanced repeated measurements designs, *Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth.*, **9**, 1901-1918.
- Nazeer Y., R. Ahmed, R. Jabeen, M. Daniyaland M. H. Tahir (2019): Circular strongly partially-balanced repeated measurements designs in periods of two different sizes, *J. Prob. Stat. Sci.*, **17**, 85-95.
- Pearce S.C., T. Calinski and T. F. de C. Marshall (1974). The basic contrasts of an experimental design with special reference to the analysis of data. *Biometrika*, **61**, 449-460.
- Rasheed U., H. M. K. Rasheed, M. Rasheed and R. Ahmed (2018): Minimal circular strongly balanced repeated measurements designs in periods of three different sizes, *Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth.*, **47**, 4088-4094.
- Rajab M., R. Ahmed, F. Shehzad, and M. H. Tahir (2018): Some new constructions of circular balanced repeated measurements design, *Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth.*, **47**, 4142-4151.
- Williams E. R. (1949): Experimental designs balanced for the estimation of residual effects of treatments, *Austral. J. Sci. Res.*, **2(2)**, 149-68.
- Williams E. R. (1950): Experimental designs balanced for pairs of residual effects, *Austral. J. Sci. Res.*, **3(3)**, 351-363